

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/074,021	AZUMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher RoDee	1756	

All Participants:

Status of Application: AF

- (1) Christopher RoDee. (3) ____.
 (2) Tammy Taylor. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2004

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 11-13, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. *Summary is attached. or*
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that there was not antecedent basis for "the outermost layer" as recited in claims 11-13 and 15. The Examiner suggested that the claims be amended to specify "the photosensitive layer" as recited in claim 1. Counsel agreed to the amendment..